## A note on "Sur le noyau de l'opérateur de courbure d'une variété finslérienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, sér. A, t. 272 (1971), 807-810"\*

Nabil L. Youssef<sup>1,2</sup> and S. G. Elgendi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Center for Theoretical Physics (CTP) at the Britich University in Egypt (BUE)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha, Egypt

E-mails: nlyoussef@sci.cu.edu.eg, nlyoussef2003@yahoo.fr salah.ali@fsci.bu.edu.eg, salahelgendi@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this note, adopting the pullback formalism of global Finsler geometry, we show by a counterexample that the kernel Ker<sub>R</sub> of the h-curvature R of Cartan connection and the associated nullity distribution  $\mathcal{N}_R$  do not coincide, contrary to Akbar-Zadeh's result [1]. We also give sufficient conditions for Ker<sub>R</sub> and  $\mathcal{N}_R$  to coincide.

Keywords: Cartan connection, h-curvature tensor, Nullity distribution, Kernel distribution.MSC 2010: 53C60, 53B40, 58B20, 53C12.

## 1. Introduction and notations

Nullity distribution in Finsler geometry has been investigated in [1] (adopting the pullback formalism) and [5] (adopting the Klein-Grifone formalism). In 1971, Akbar-Zadeh [1] proved that the kernel Ker<sub>R</sub> of the h-curvature operator R of Cartan connection coincides with the nullity distribution  $\mathcal{N}_R$  of that operator. This result was reappeared again in [2] and was used to prove that the nullity foliation is auto-parallel. Moreover, Bidabad and Refie-Rad [3] generalized this result to the case of k-nullity distribution following the same pattern of proof as Akbar-Zadeh's.

In this note, we show by a counterexample that  $\operatorname{Ker}_R$  and  $\mathcal{N}_R$  do not coincide, contrary to Akbar-Zadeh's result. In addition, we find sufficient conditions for  $\operatorname{Ker}_R$  and  $\mathcal{N}_R$  to coincide.

In what follows, we denote by  $\pi : \mathcal{T}M \longrightarrow M$  the subbundle of nonzero vectors tangent to  $M, \pi_* : T(\mathcal{T}M) \longrightarrow TM$  the linear tangent map of  $\pi$  and  $V_z(TM) = (\text{Ker } \pi_*)_z$  the vertical space at  $z \in \mathcal{T}M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}(TM)$  be the algebra of  $C^{\infty}$  functions on TM and  $\mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))$  the  $\mathfrak{F}(TM)$ module of differentiable sections of the pullback bundle  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$ . The elements of  $\mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))$ 

<sup>\*</sup>ArXiv Number: 1305.4498 [math.DG]

will be called  $\pi$ -vector fields and denoted by barred letters  $\overline{X}$ . The fundamental  $\pi$ -vector field is the  $\pi$ -vector field  $\overline{\eta}$  defined by  $\overline{\eta}(z) = (z, z)$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{T}M$ .

Let D be a linear connection on the pullback bundle  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$ . Let K be the map defined by  $K: T(\mathcal{T}M) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(TM) : X \longmapsto D_X \overline{\eta}$ . The vector space  $H_z(TM) := \{X \in T_z(\mathcal{T}M) : K(X) = 0\}$  is the horizontal space to M at z. The restriction of  $\pi_*$  on  $H_z(TM)$ , denoted again  $\pi_*$ , defines an isomorphism between  $H_z(TM)$  and  $T_{\pi z}M$ . The connection D is said to be regular if  $T_z(\mathcal{T}M) = V_z(TM) \oplus H_z(TM) \ \forall z \in \mathcal{T}M$ . In this case K defines an isomorphism between  $V_z(TM)$  and  $T_{\pi z}M$ .

If M is endowed with a regular connection, then the preceding decomposition permits to write uniquely a vector  $X \in T_z(\mathcal{T}M)$  in the form X = hX + vX, where  $hX \in H_z(TM)$  and  $vX \in V_z(TM)$ . The ((h)hv-) torsion tensor of D, denoted by T, is defined by  $T(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) =$  $\mathbf{T}(vX, hY)$ , for all  $\overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))$ , where  $\mathbf{T}(X, Y) = D_X \overline{Y} - D_Y \overline{X} - \pi_*[X, Y]$  is the (classical) torsion associated with D and  $\overline{X} = \pi_*X$  (the fibers of the pullback bundle are isomorphic to the fibers of the tangent bundle). The h-curvature tensor of D, denoted by R, is defined by  $R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \mathbf{K}(hX, hY)\overline{Z}$ , where  $\mathbf{K}(X, Y)\overline{Z} = D_X D_Y \overline{Z} - D_Y D_X \overline{Z} - D_{[X,Y]}\overline{Z}$  is the (classical) curvature associated with D. The contracted curvature  $\widehat{R}$  is defined by  $\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\eta}$ .

## 2. Kernel and nullity distributions: Counterexample

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. Let  $\nabla$  be the Cartan connection associated with (M, F). It is well known that  $\nabla$  is the unique metrical regular connection on  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$  such that  $g(T(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{Z}) = g(T(\overline{X}, \overline{Z}), \overline{Y})$  [2], [6]. Note that the bracket [X, Y] is horizontal if and only if  $\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = 0$ , where  $\widehat{R}$  is the contracted curvature of the *h*-curvature tensor of  $\nabla$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [2] Let T and K be the (classical) torsion and curvature tensors of  $\nabla$  respectively. We have:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\{\mathbf{K}(X,Y)\overline{Z}-\nabla_Z \mathbf{T}(X,Y)-\mathbf{T}(X,[Y,Z])\}=0,$$

where the symbol  $\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}$  denotes cyclic sum over  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ .

Let us now define the concepts of nullity and kernel spaces associated with the curvature  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $\nabla$ , following Akbar-Zadeh's definitions [1].

**Definition 2.2.** The subspace  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(z)$  of  $H_z(TM)$  at a point  $z \in TM$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(z) := \{ X \in H_z(TM) : \mathbf{K}(X, Y) = 0, \ \forall Y \in H_z(TM) \}.$$

The dimension of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(z)$  is denoted by  $\mu_{\mathbf{K}}(z)$ .

The subspace  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(x) := \pi_*(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(z)) \subset T_x M$ ,  $x = \pi z$ , is linearly isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(z)$ . This subspace is called the nullity space of the curvature operator  $\mathbf{K}$  at the point  $x \in M$ 

**Definition 2.3.** The kernel of **K** at the point  $x = \pi z$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ker}_{\mathbf{K}}(x) := \{ \overline{X} \in \{z\} \times T_x M \simeq T_x M : \mathbf{K}(Y, Z) \overline{X} = 0, \, \forall \, Y, Z \in H_z(TM) \}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}_{\mathbf{K}}$  are both defined on the horizontal space, we can replace the classical curvature  $\mathbf{K}$  by the h-curvature tensor R of Cartan connection. Akbar-Zadeh [1] proved that the nullity space  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{K}}(x)$  and the kernel space  $\operatorname{Ker}_{\mathbf{K}}(x)$  coincide for each point  $x \in M$  at which they are defined. We show by a counterexample that the above mentioned spaces do not coincide.

**Theorem 2.4.** The nullity space  $\mathcal{N}_R(x)$  and the kernel space  $\operatorname{Ker}_R(x)$  do not coincide.

Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3y_1 > 0, y_2^2 + y_3^2 \neq 0\} \subset TM$ . Let F be the Finsler function defined on U by

$$F := \sqrt{x_3 y_1 \sqrt{y_2^2 + y_3^2}}$$

Using MAPLE program, we can perform the following computations. We write only the coefficients  $\Gamma_j^i$  of Barthel connection and the components  $R_{ijk}^h$  of the h-curvature tensor R. The non-vanishing coefficients of Barthel connection  $\Gamma_j^i$  are:

$$\Gamma_2^2 = \frac{y_3}{x_3}, \qquad \Gamma_3^2 = \frac{y_2}{x_3}, \qquad \Gamma_2^3 = -\frac{y_2}{x_3}, \qquad \Gamma_3^3 = \frac{y_3}{x_3}.$$

The independent non-vanishing components of the h-curvature  $R_{ijk}^h$  of Cartan connection are:

$$R_{223}^{1} = \frac{y_{1}y_{3}}{2x_{3}^{2}(y_{2}^{2} + y_{3}^{2})}, \qquad R_{323}^{1} = -\frac{y_{1}y_{2}}{2x_{3}^{2}(y_{2}^{2} + y_{3}^{2})}, \qquad R_{123}^{2} = -\frac{y_{3}}{2x_{3}^{2}y_{1}}$$
$$R_{323}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2x_{3}^{2}}, \qquad R_{123}^{3} = \frac{y_{2}}{2x_{3}^{2}y_{1}}, \qquad R_{223}^{3} = \frac{1}{2x_{3}^{2}}.$$

Now, let  $X \in \mathcal{N}_R$ , then X can be written in the form  $X = X^1 h_1 + X^2 h_2 + X^3 h_3$ , where  $X^1, X^2, X^3$ are the components of the vector X with respect to the basis  $\{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$  of the horizontal space;  $h_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_i^m \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}$ , i, m = 1, ..., 3. The equation  $R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = 0, \forall Y, Z \in H(TM)$ , is written locally in the form  $X^j R_{ijk}^h = 0$ . This is equivalent to the system of equations  $X^2 = 0, X^3 = 0$ having the solution  $X^1 = t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ),  $X^2 = X^3 = 0$ . As  $\pi_*(h_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{N}_R(x) = \left\{ t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \, | \, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$
(2.1)

On the other hand, let  $Z \in \text{Ker}_R$ . The equation  $R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = 0, \forall X, Y \in H(TM)$ , is written locally in the form  $Z^i R^h_{ijk} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the system:

$$y_3Z^2 - y_2Z^3 = 0,$$
  $y_3Z^1 + y_1Z^3 = 0,$   $y_2Z^1 + y_1Z^2 = 0.$ 

This system has the solution  $Z^1 = t$ ,  $Z^2 = -\frac{y_2}{y_1}t$  and  $Z^3 = -\frac{y_3}{y_1}t$ ,  $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ . Thus,

$$\operatorname{Ker}_{R}(x) = \left\{ t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}} - \frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{y_{3}}{y_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}} \right) | t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$
(2.2)

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2), we note that there is no value of t for which  $\mathcal{N}_R(x) = \operatorname{Ker}_R(x)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{N}_R(x)$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}_R(x)$  can not coincide.

According to Akabr-Zadeh's proof, if  $X \in \mathcal{N}_R$ , then, by Lemma 2.1, we have  $R(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{X} = \mathbf{T}(X, [Y, Z])$ . But there is no guarantee for the vanishing of the right-hand side. Even the equation  $g(R(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\pi_*X, \pi_*W) = g(\mathbf{T}(X, [Y, Z]), \pi_*W), W \in H(TM)$ , is true only for  $X \in \mathcal{N}_R$  and, consequently, we can not use the symmetry or skew-symmetry properties in X and W to conclude that  $g(R(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{X}, \overline{W}) = 0$ . This can be assured, again, by the previous example: if we take  $X = h_1 \in \mathcal{N}_R(z)$  and  $Y = h_2, Z = h_3$ , then the bracket  $[Y, Z] = -\frac{y_3}{x_3^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} + \frac{y_2}{x_3^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}$  is vertical and  $\mathbf{T}(h_1, [h_2, h_3]) = -\frac{1}{2x_3^2y_1}(y_3\overline{\partial}_2 - y_2\overline{\partial}_3) \neq 0$ , where  $\overline{\partial}_i$  is the basis of the fibers of the pullback bundle.

As has been shown above,  $\mathcal{N}_R$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}_R$  do not coincide in general. Nevertheless, we have

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold and R the h-curvative of Cartan connection. If

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X},\overline{Y},\overline{Z}}R(\overline{X},\overline{Y})\overline{Z} = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

then the two distributions  $\mathcal{N}_R$  and  $Ker_R$  coincide.

Proof. If  $X \in \mathcal{N}_R$ , then, from (2.3), we have R(Y, Z)X = 0 and consequently  $X \in \text{Ker}_R$ . On the other hand, it follows also from (2.3) that  $g(R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}, \overline{W}) =: R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) = R(\overline{Z}, \overline{W}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ . This proves that if  $X \in \text{Ker}_R$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{N}_R$ .

The following corollary shows that there are nontrivial cases in which (2.3) is verified and consequently the two distributions coincide.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold and g the associated Finsler metric. If one of the following conditions holds:

- (a)  $\hat{R} = 0$  (the integrability condition for the horizontal distribution),
- (b)  $\widehat{R}(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = \lambda F(\ell(\overline{X})\overline{Y} \ell(\overline{Y})\overline{X})$ , where  $\lambda(x,y)$  is a homogenous function of degree 0 in y and  $\ell(\overline{X}) := F^{-1}g(\overline{X},\overline{\eta})$  (the isotropy condition),

then the two distributions  $\mathcal{N}_R$  and  $Ker_R$  coincide.

Proof.

(a) We have  $\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X},\overline{Y},\overline{Z}}\{R(\overline{X},\overline{Y})\overline{Z} - T(\overline{X},\widehat{R}(\overline{Y},\overline{Z}))\} = 0$  [7]. Then, if  $\widehat{R} = 0$ , (2.3) holds. (b) If  $\widehat{R}(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = \lambda F(\ell(\overline{X})\overline{Y} - \ell(\overline{Y})\overline{X})$ , then, by [4], (2.3) is satisfied.

**Remark 2.7.** It should be noted that the identity (2.3) is a sufficient condition for the validity of the identity (2.1) of [1].

## References

- H. Akbar-Zadeh, Sur le noyau de l'opérateure de courbure d'une variété finslérienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A, 272 (1971), 807–810.
- [2] H. Akbar-Zadeh, Espaces de nullité en géométrie Finslérienne, Tensor, N. S., 26 (1972), 89-101.
- [3] B. Bidabad and M. Refie-Rad, On the k-nullity foliations in Finsler geometry and completeness, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 37, 4 (2011), 1-18. ArXiv: 1101.1496 [math. DG].
- [4] A. Soleiman, On Akbar-Zadeh's theorem on a Finsler space of constant curvature. ArXiv: 1201.2012 [math.DG].
- [5] Nabil L. Youssef, Distribution de nullité du tensor de courbure d'une connexion, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A, 290 (1980), 653–656.
- [6] Nabil L. Youssef, S. H. Abed and A. Soleiman, A global approach to the theory of connections in Finsler geometry, Tensor, N. S., 71,3 (2009), 187–208. ArXiv: 0801.3220 [math.DG].
- [7] Nabil L. Youssef, S. H. Abed and A. Soleiman, Geometric objects associated with the fundamental connections in Finsler geometry, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 18(1) (2010), 67-90.